IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO. 1848 OF 2006 .

Dayanand S.Mali & ors. ... Petitioners.
V/s.
M/s Bayer India Ltd. ... Respondents.

Shri S.N.Deshpande for the petitioners.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 18.3.2006.

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners.

Perused petition.

. No case is made to interfere with the impugned order. The view taken by the Court below is a reasonable and possible view. Having accepted V.R.S. and having pocketed the amount of compensation paid under V.R.S. Scheme, it was not open for the petitioners to challenge the acceptance of V.R.S. Scheme and claim employment on the basis of belatedly filed complaint and seek condonation of delay in filing such complaint. The complainant cannot be allowed to blow hot and cold; retaining the amount of compensation obtained under the VRS Scheme. The application for condonation was rightly rejected by the Court below. This is not a fit case for exercising writ jurisdiction under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India.

. Petition is devoid of any substance. In the result, petition is dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA,J.)